

This table provides a correlation of chronostratigraphical subdivisions of late Cenozoic geological time, spanning the last 2.7 million years. The formal division of the Quaternary is the responsibility of the International Commission on Stratigraphy's (ICS) Subcommittee on Quaternary Stratigraphy (SQS), in partnership with the International Union for Quaternary Research's (INQUA) Commission on Stratigraphy and Chronology (SACCOM).

System, Series, Subseries

The timescale is based on the internationally-recognised formal time subdivisions: the Phanerozoic Eon; the Cenozoic Era; the Quaternary System or Period; the Pleistocene and Holocene Series, and finally the Early/Lower, Middle, Late/Upper Pleistocene Subseries. At present the subseries divisions of the Pleistocene are not formalised.

Series, and thereby systems, are formally-defined based on Global Stratotype Section and Points (GSSP) of which two have been ratified for the last 2.7 million years. The base of the Quaternary/Pleistocene is defined at 1.806 million years from a GSSP at Vrica in southern Italy.

Base of the Quaternary and Pleistocene

Although the basal Pleistocene boundary is a fully ratified GSSP, a substantial majority of Quaternary workers do not consider it the most appropriate. Since 1948 there has been a consensus that the boundary should be placed at the first evidence of climatic cooling of ice-age magnitude. This was the original basis for placing the boundary in marine sediments in Calabria, in Italy (Aguirre & Pasini, 1985). It is now known that a major cooling occurred earlier, at *c.*2.55 million years, and even earlier cooling events are known from the Pliocene. Many consider that the basal Quaternary / Pleistocene boundary should logically be removed to this position, effectively corresponding to the Gauss / Matuyama magnetic Chron boundary (e.g. Partridge, 1997; Suc *et al.*, 1997). This is the base of the Pliocene Gelasian Stage (Rio *et al.*, 1998), which is also a ratified GSSP (Aguirre & Pasini, 1985). The chart extends to 2.7 million years, to include this stage and the very end of the preceding Piacenzian Stage.

Marine stage / zone divisions

Isotope studies from the bottom sediments of the world's oceans have indicated that as many as 52 cold and interspersed warm climate periods, often referred to as glacials and interglacials, occurred during the last 2.6 million years. In contrast to the deep sea, continental evidence is so incomplete and regionally variable that terrestrial glacial-interglacial stratigraphies must refer to the ocean record for a global chronological foundation.

Here the deep-sea based, climatically-defined chronostratigraphy is taken from oxygen isotope data collected and processed by S.J. Crowhurst (Delphi Project; 2002). It is plotted against the magnetostratigraphic time scale prepared and modified from Funnell (1996). The curve plots depict $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (the ratio of ^{18}O versus ^{16}O) in the tests of fossil benthonic (ocean-floor dwelling) foraminifera. Shifts in this ratio are a measure of global ice-volume, which is dependant on global temperature and which determines global sea-level. Planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nannoplankton provide an alternative biostratigraphical means of subdivision of marine sediments. The micropalaeontological zonation is taken from Berggren *et al.* (1995).

Antarctic ice-core records

Two plots of isotope measurements from Antarctic ice-cores are shown. The first is the 420 kyr-long plot from the Vostok core and shows atmospheric $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (Petit *et al.* 1998), determined from gas bubbles in the ice. This atmospheric $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ is inversely related to $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements from seawater and therefore is a measure of ice-volume. It can also be used to separate ice volume and deepwater temperature effects in benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements. The deuterium measurements (δD) for the last 740 kyr are from the 3.2 km deep EDC core in Dome C (EPICA community members, 2004). They come from samples of the ice itself and give a direct indication of Antarctic surface palaeotemperature.

References

Full bibliographic references are found on the web site: <http://www.quaternary.stratigraphy.org.uk/>

The formal boundary of the Quaternary / Pleistocene and its most commonly used unofficial alternative are shown. At the time of publication there is a proposal to separate the base of the Quaternary from that of the Pleistocene, the former to be defined at the 2.6 million-year boundary, the latter to remain at 1.8 million years. Discussions are also in progress on whether to include the Quaternary in the Neogene System, as a subsystem. These proposals have yet to be resolved.

GSSPs

Formal GSSPs for the Pleistocene subseries will be proposed in the near future. The INQUA Commission on Stratigraphy/ICS Working Group on Major Subdivision of the Pleistocene agreed to place the Early/Lower - Middle boundary at the Brunhes / Matuyama magnetic reversal Chron boundary (Richmond, 1996); a stratotype locality has yet to be identified. Following recent re-evaluation, the Middle – Late/Upper boundary is placed, following historical precedent in NW Europe, at the Saalian-Eemian Stage boundary. The former is positioned at the basal-boundary stratotype of the Eemian in the Amsterdam-Terminal borehole, the Netherlands (Gibbard, 2003).

The Holocene is generally regarded as having begun 10,000 radiocarbon years, or the last 11.5k calibrated (i.e. calendar) years, before present (i.e. 1950). This boundary will be defined as a Global Standard Stratigraphic Age (GSSA). A stratotype is likely to be defined in an ice core of the Greenland Ice-Core Project (GRIP) or the Greenland Ice-Sheet Project (GISP). Or alternatively it may be defined in an annually-laminated lake sequence in western Germany (Litt *et al.*, 2001).

Regional stage/substage divisions

The continuous sequences provide the comparison for a selection of continental and shallow marine stage-sequences from around the world reconstructed from discontinuous sediment successions. Solid horizontal lines on the plots indicate observed boundaries, where no lines separate stages, additional events may potentially be recognised in the future.

The plot from the Chinese loess deposits shows the sequence of palaeosols (indicated by S and WS) and alternating loess units (L and WL) from Luochuan (An Zhisheng *et al.*, 1990). It is accompanied by a continuous plot of magnetic susceptibility from the same sequence. The NW European stages are taken from Zagwijn (1992) and De Jong (1988). The British stages are taken from Mitchell *et al.* (1973); Gibbard *et al.* (1991) and Bowen (2000). The Italian shallow marine stages are from Van Couvering (1997). The Russian Plain stages are from the Stratigraphy of the USSR: Quaternary System (1982, 1984), Krasnenkov Iossifova & Semenov (1997), Shik, Borisov & Zarrina (2002), Iossifova *et al.* (2002, modified 2004) and Tesakov (unpublished). In addition, the Russian Pleistocene is also frequently divided into the Eopleistocene, equivalent to the Early Pleistocene Subseries, and the Neopleistocene, equivalent to the Middle and Late Pleistocene Subseries. The North American stages are taken from Richmond (unpublished). The New Zealand stages are from Pillans (1991) and Beu (2004).

The status of the correlations shown in this chart are likely to change as new evidence becomes available. This is particularly true for the continental areas where parts of the sequences are in a constant state of revision, especially in the glaciated areas of northern Europe and North America. In the former the timing of events within the Saalian, Wolstonian, 'Cromerian Complex' and Tiglian stages is currently being revised.

Acknowledgements

The compilers are grateful to the many people and sponsors who have assisted in the production of this chart. In particular, they thank, Alan Beu, Simon Crowhurst, Valerie Hall, Martin Head, Thijs van Kolfschoten, Thomas Litt, Leszek Marks, Brad Pillans, Chris Rolfe, Nick Shackleton, Aleksey Tesakov, Charles Turner, Mike Walker, Wim Westerhoff and Eric Wolff.